

WOLLSTONECRAFT SOCIAL VISION REFORM MOVEMENT



**KERRY LARKE'S
OPEN LETTER TO
SIR KIER STARMER, PRIME MINISTER
A CALL FOR ACTION TO STOP STATE & LOCAL AUTHORITIES
EMPLOYEES BEING ALLOWED TO PUT MISLEADING & UNTRUE
INFORMATION ON STATE & LOCAL AUTHORITIES DATABASES
NEGATIVELY AFFECTING CITIZENS IN 2025 IN COUNCIL
BOROUGHS IN ENGLAND & WALES**



Solicitors
Regulation
Authority

Labour

METROPOLITAN
POLICE

Labour
and co-operative

citizens
advice

Criminal Injuries
Compensation
Authority

Camden

Green Party

Conservatives

Liberal
Democrats

ISLINGTON

9/06/25

Kerry Larke and John Feely

M: 07427897797

Wollstonecraftsocialvision@gmail.com

Larkekerry9@gmail.com

instagram.com/wollstonecraftsocialvision

The Rt Hon Keir Starmer MP

Prime Minister's Office

10 Downing Street

London

SW1A 2AA



Dear Mr. Starmer,

I write to you with grave concern about a series of administrative changes currently being undertaken by your government and local authorities in England and Wales. I have encountered and investigated multiple accounts suggesting that these changes are built on databases and information which may be demonstrably incorrect, outdated, or misleading. If these concerns prove accurate, it means that essential

policy decisions and legal processes are being shaped by a dangerously flawed system, one that leads to errors, mismanagement of taxpayer money, and ultimately, a diminished trust in public institutions.

Many people, myself included, believe that the nature of these reorganizations is indicative of a deeper problem. The problem being an apparent haste to fill perceived “black holes” in state finances without performing the thorough due diligence mandated by law. When public data is suspect, the ramifications spread quickly throughout the legal and governmental framework. Solicitors, barristers, judges, and King’s Counsel are forced to rely on government records they presume to be accurate, but which in many cases have shown not to be. This in turn exposes legal professionals, as well as your Cabinet, to potential civil and criminal liability if they unwittingly rely on false or incomplete data when making or enforcing legal judgments.

In practice, Parliament is the highest authority in the United Kingdom, holding the responsibility to devise laws that safeguard citizens and uphold the national interest. Yet these laws must be underpinned by trustworthy local and state databases if they are to work in a fair and balanced way. When that foundation is not secure the very backbone of our legislative process bends under the strain which is due to have serious ramifications when bad information spills into courts or is wielded against citizens who lack the resources to challenge it.

This threat is neither hypothetical nor distant. The subpostmasters scandal, where hundreds of loyal workers faced wrongful prosecution based on a defective system, is an example of how quickly administrative malpractice can spiral into a large-scale miscarriage of justice. In that case, the cost to taxpayers, both financially and morally, was enormous, with many lives upended before the truth finally emerged. We risk a repeat of similarly disastrous outcomes if the data problems in local councils and governmental databases continue to go unaddressed.

I am especially concerned about the situation in certain London boroughs like Camden, Islington and Westminster where there are signs of chronic inaccuracies, overspending and questionable practices in the name of reorganization. This has potential to be a Rotherham level scandal, with multiple allegations of discrimination against disabled individuals, Irish immigrants, the Caribbean community and others. Citizens in these boroughs have shared stories of officials acting upon flawed data, resulting in unjust legal actions and administrative roadblocks. Under any government, but especially one formed with the promise of fairness and accountability, such practices warrant urgent review.

I also want to draw your attention to concerns raised about the involvement of private law firms benefiting from these reorganizations at the expense of taxpayers, with little improvement in the actual services delivered to local residents. The impression we have is that certain firms and private enterprises are paid large sums of public money to implement changes that do not truly address the underlying issues but simply rearrange them. There are indications that some officials knowingly or unknowingly encourage this process (despite warnings that the data feeding these reorganizations may be grossly misleading) because it appears to solve a budgetary shortfall on paper.

Prominent figures such as Mark Neale, Director General of the Bar Standards Board, Anna Bradley, the Chair of the Solicitors Regulation Authority, and Paul Philip have been mentioned as key individuals who should be fully apprised of how suspect data might compromise solicitors, barristers, and the courts themselves. When legal professionals are not made aware that the records they depend on may be flawed, they can inadvertently participate in proceedings that fail to meet standards of fairness and accuracy, exposing them to professional and Legal Peril.

John Feely, Hillary Stewart, and I met with Georgia Gould, who was Head of Camden Council in 2018, who we found out when we talked to Georgia Gould that the team supporting her had kept back important information from her connected to disabled services Camden Council was in the process of changing. We found this troubling, and since 2017 we have been investigating issues that undermine elected Councillors in England and Wales.

In March 2025, evidence will be given to the MPs and Councillors in England and Wales of a waste of up to 90% in administration processes in operations in State and Local Authorities in the London Council Boroughs of Islington and Camden. Evidence will also be given to the governments of many nations in the world regarding how their citizens, when visiting London, are being manipulated into paying hidden illegal taxes. A new scandal of such magnitude began in the 1970s and 1980s, where Westminster Council was undermined by the GLC, affecting people in all Council Boroughs in the UK.

I acknowledge that every government faces the daunting task of balancing budgets and ensuring essential services. However, if cost-saving measures are pursued with insufficient scrutiny of the data driving them, these quick fixes can morph into costly scandals. The Subpostmasters case and other historic injustices remind us how easily flawed information can send innocent people through years of unnecessary legal battles. It is for this reason I hope your administration will take immediate steps to investigate, correct, and restore integrity to the databases used by state and local authorities.

I respectfully urge you and the Cabinet to consider a thorough audit of local authorities and government databases before proceeding with further administrative changes. It is imperative that local councils, including those in Camden, Islington and Westminster, be required to verify the reliability of any records that will inform policy decisions or legal actions. In the event that such a review confirms widespread inaccuracies, I hope you will pause the proposed reorganizations and allow adequate time to establish reforms that truly serve the public.

It is within your power and responsibility to ensure that the foundations of our governance are robust and beyond reproach. In doing so, you would not only protect citizens from administrative injustices but also shield the Government, legal professionals, and public servants from the long lasting damage that arises when flawed data is permitted to dictate policy.

I stand ready to provide any additional information or documentation that may assist in this effort. Thank you for your attention to these pressing matters and I trust you will take decisive steps to secure the credibility of the systems on which so many lives depend.

All the best,

Kerry Larke